



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/526,990

03/07/2005

Shridhar Mubaraq Mishra

1890-0213

6999

50255

7590

09/25/2009

MAGINOT, MOOR & BECK
111 MONUMENT CIRCLE, SUITE 3000
BANK ONE CENTER/TOWER
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

EXAMINER

RUTKOWSKI, JEFFREY M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/25/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/526,990	Applicant(s) MISHRA ET AL.	
	Examiner JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI	Art Unit 2416	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JEFFREY M. RUTKOWSKI. (3) ____.

(2) David Moorman (Applicant's Representative). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tzeng, Moran, Ting.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant may amend the independent claims to include the features of claim 11. The Examiner agreed with the Applicant that the cited prior art does not teach or suggest MAC interfaces that have respective buffers.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Steven HD Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416	
---	--